27th March 1929]

Registration of candidates trained in the School of Indian Medicine.

*2000 Q.—Sriman BISWANATH DAS Mahasayo: Will the hon. the Minister for Public Health be pleased to state—

(a) whether a Medical Council for the registration of candidates trained in the School of Indian Medicine has been established on the lines recommended by the report on the indigenous system of medicines;

(b) if not, the reasons for the same;

(c) the arrangements now made for the registration of successful candidates in the School of Indian Medicine; and

(d) whether they have consulted the Principal and staff of the School of Indian Medicine and other recognized and leading doctors, like Dr. Lakshmipathi, in the indigenous system of medicines regarding the creation of a separate Medical Council for the School of Indian Medicine?

A.—(a) No.
(b), (c) & (d) The matter is under consideration.

Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR:—"May I know in what respect the recommendations have been departed from?"

The hon. Mr. S. MUTHIAH MUDALIYAR:—"Which recommendations, Sir."

Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR:—"The recommendations made by the authors of the report on the Indigenous System of Medicines?"

The hon. Mr. S. MUTHIAH MUDALIYAR:—"A Medical Council has not been established at all."

Mr. G. HARISARVOTTAMA RAO:—"May I know, Sir, when the matter of registration of the students passing out of the Indian School of Medicine is considered, the question of the further registration of men who are already in the profession will also be considered?"

The hon Mr. S. MUTHIAH MUDALIYAR:—"There is no special question as regards the students turned out from the school alone. The general question of registration of these people is under consideration."

Education

Recruitment to the Madras Educational Service.

*2001 Q.—Mr. C. RAMASOMAYAJULU: Will the hon. the Minister for Education and Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(a) the number of posts in the Madras Educational Service filled by direct recruitment from outside the service during the eight years prior to 1919 and during the eight years subsequent thereto;

(b) whether it is a fact that direct recruitment to the Madras Educational Service was not in vogue prior to the Reforms; and

(c) the reasons for the increase in such number subsequent to the Reforms?

A.—(a) & (b) Six during the years 1911 to 1918 and eleven during the years 1919 to 1926.

[27th March 1929

(c) With the discontinuance of recruitment to the Indian Educational Service the posts falling vacant in that cadre were held in abeyance and posts in the Madras Educational Service created instead, and to these direct recruitment was sometimes necessary to maintain standards and efficiency.

Local Boards

Alleged minute of dissent to the Chingleput District Board proceedings on 31st January 1929.

*2002 Q.—Mr. C. N. MUTHURANGA MUDALIYAR: Will the hon. the Minister for Education and Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government are in receipt of the minute of dissent by certain members of the Chingleput District Board to the proceedings of the Board on the 31st January 1929;

(b) whether the Government will place the minute of dissent on the table; and

(c) what action the Government have taken on the minute of dissent?

A.—(a) The hon. Member is presumably referring to the minute of dissent sent in by certain members of the Board relating to the legal action proposed to be taken against Rao Bahadur J. Chelvaranga Raju in respect of his articles in the *Hindu* on the damage caused to the Moir causeway at Uthukota.

(b) A copy [a] is placed on the table of the House.

(c) No action was considered necessary on the minute, as the Board was competent to decide on the action proposed.

Mr. ABDUL HAMEED KHAN:—"May I ask whether, in view of the fact that the majority in the District Board want to stifle the opinion of the minority on that board, the Government will not interfere and take the necessary action?"

The hon. Dr. P. SUBBARAYAN:—"I do not think there is any necessity for the Government to interfere."

Mr. ABDUL HAMEED KHAN:—"May I know whether the Government will allow these district boards to so manage their affairs as not to have any minority opinion there?"

The hon. Dr. P. SUBBARAYAN:—"There is no suppression of minority opinion at all."

Mr. ABDUL HAMEED KHAN:—"May I know whether when a majority takes it into its head to accuse for expressing a different opinion from theirs, the Government will not interfere?"

The hon. Dr. P. SUBBARAYAN:—"It is not a question of opinion expressed. It is about a question of an article published in the 'Hindu'."

Mr. ABDUL HAMEED KHAN:—"Does the Government think that it is not open to any member to criticise the action of the majority in the press?"

The hon. Dr. P. SUBBARAYAN:—"Criticism is subject to the law of the land."

---

[a] Printed as Appendix VII on page 1046 infra.